

1 *IN RE PRANTIL/DORNER*

2 *CASE NO.*

3 *ATTACHMENT TO CH-100*

4 I am the former attorney of a Defendant in his dissolution of
5 marriage case. I properly substituted out of the case in June of
6 2017. Our professional relationship did not end amicably as
7 Defendant wished to control the disbursement of funds from the sale of
8 community property assets and has yet to pay me the \$52,000 for the
9 professional legal services rendered.

10 I filed a pending law suit against Defendant in San Diego
11 Superior Court in order to collect fees that were properly earned
12 and billed. These fees amount of over \$52,000.00 and are currently
13 in an IOLTA account under the control of attorney Lisa Damiani. In
14 response, Defendant has untimely attempted to have this matter sent
15 to fee arbitration. Next, Defendant filed a complaint against me
16 with the State Bar for which the State Bar has closed its case with
17 no findings. Third, in response to the civil action, Defendant
18 filed a cross-complaint for legal malpractice which he has since
19 dismissed.

20 On April 19, 2018, I received a text message from one of my
21 co-tenants attorney Gary Sinkeldam. The text was of a picture of
22 the vehicle that was parked immediately out front of our office
23 space in the handicap space. The vehicle is within 40 feet of our
24 front door.

25 When I arrived to the office, I noticed that the sexually
26 graphic pictures and my personal information had been covered with
27 what appeared to be plastic sheets.

28 Sometime thereafter, the police arrived. I had thought at

1 that point that they arrived because I had called them. I later
2 came to find out that the police had actually been called by
3 Defendant claiming that the sign had been vandalized by the
4 covering of the graphic photos and personal information.

5 I was interviewed by the SDPD police officers and told them
6 about the situation. They advised me that Defendant was not going
7 to stop and that he had told them he was trying to force me to
8 settle our civil action. The SDPD officer advised me to get a
9 restraining order. I thanked them for their service and they left
10 with no further incident.

11 I immediately contacted my attorney who sent a cease and
12 desist letter to Defendant. I have attached a copy of that letter.
13 I have also attached Defendant's response.

14 I thought possibly that this could be the end. Unfortunately
15 for my family, and my office partners, it was not.

16 On May 10, 2018, I was contacted by attorney Damiani that the
17 vehicle had returned and that she, as the landlord and tenant of
18 the building had called the police. Her staff had complained to
19 her about the sexually graphic nature of the signs and how they are
20 offended by them. Ms. Damiani's secretary [she also does some
21 minimal secretarial work for all of the tenants] had personally
22 complained about this sign. Ms. Damiani then asked, on behalf of
23 the entire staff and tenants, to obtain a restraining order.

24 The very same day, I returned home. Earlier that week, a
25 letter had been delivered via U.S. Post Office that was addressed
26 to my wife. With the coming of Mother's Day, and since the
27 envelope had Hallmark embossed on the back, I had thought it was a
28 card for my wife. To my utter shock, the envelope contained

1 pictures of the vehicle and the sexually graphic image. My wife
2 was extremely upset. The pictures in the background clearly shows
3 a pizza parlor five blocks from my house. I do not know how
4 Defendant obtained my address but clearly he knows where I live.
5 Defendant is a former Navy SEAL with 100% PTSD. He has a multitude
6 of firearms, ammunition, and I am now in fear for my life as well
7 as the life of my wife and adult daughter who live with me at home.

8 I began to draft my request for the restraining order. Upon
9 my arrival at the office today [May 14], the vehicle is back.
10 Defendant was seen by witnesses across the street on the corner of
11 10th and Broadway, as well as in the driveway of a building near the
12 corner of 10th and B. Clearly, it appears as though Defendant is
13 stalking me.

14 I have attached pictures of all of the encounters which
15 support my request for this restraining order.

16 As I stated above, I am in fear for myself, my family and my
17 office mates. This truck with the attached sign is disrupting
18 their practice and it has clearly offended Ms. Damiani's staff.
19 Although he will deny that he said this to the police or that this
20 is his purpose, Defendant is attempting through stalking and
21 harassment to have me drop my breach of contract case against him.

22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Exhibit 1

SAN DIEGO 92104

07 MAY 2018 PM 2 1





Natalie Prantil
2736 Felton St
San Diego Ca 92104

92104-584566



EXHIBIT 2





ATTORNEY RICHARD G. PRANTIL



MR. PRANTIL SENT THIS
NUDE PHOTO OF WHO HE CLAIMED
WAS A CLIENT TO ANOTHER CLIENT.

DOES HE NOT HAVE MORALS?

M.R. PRANTIL DEMANDED AND RECEIVED \$10,000 FROM A TITLE COMPANY FOR THE
RELEASE OF THE CLAIM, WITHOUT HIS CLIENTS KNOWLEDGE. HE FILED A
**FALSE AND MISLEADING DOCUMENT WITH THE COURT, COMMITTING AN ACT OF
MORAL TURPITUDE.**

ATTORNEY RICHARD G. PRANTIL

HE SENT THIS NUDITY PHOTO TO ANOTHER CLIENT
WHICH WAS A VIOLATION OF THE RULES OF THE BAR

HE DEMANDED AND RECEIVED \$10,000 FROM A TITLE COMPANY
FOR THE RELEASE OF THE CLAIM, WITHOUT HIS CLIENTS KNOWLEDGE

HE FILED A FALSE AND MISLEADING DOCUMENT WITH THE COURT
COMMITTING AN ACT OF MORAL TURPITUDE

PERSECUTION?

DISABLED VEHICLE

